

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 24-07542-SK	Date: December 13, 2024
Title	Jaewook Ha v. Daniel Cho et al	

Present: The Honorable: Steve Kim, United States Magistrate Judge

Connie Chung	n/a
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s):	Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):
None present	None present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

For the reason checked below, Plaintiff(s) is ORDERED to show cause on or before **December 27, 2024** why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

- x Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff has failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on Defendants Daniel Cho and C and H Trader Inc.

Plaintiff can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.